Radboud Universiteit





Quantitative theory of magnetic interactions in solids

Mikhail Katsnelson





Epigraphs

To the theoretical physicists, ferromagnetism presents a number of very interesting, unsolved and beautiful challenges. Our challenge is to understand why it exists at all.

(Feynman Lectures on Physics)

Make things as simple as possible but not simpler

(A. Einstein)

Magnetite – first known magnet





Fe₃O₄ (magnetite) lattice

AALSAL pin

Very complicated structure, still a lot of open questions

Two types of Fe sites (tetra and octa); Metal-insulator transition; Charge ordering; Role of orbital degrees of freedom; Half-metallicity...



Types of magnetic ordering

Textbook wisdom



Spin spirals



v-Fe

 UO_2

complicated

 α -Mn





Relation to superconductivity and other phenomena



Simplified phase diagram of Cu-O high-Tc superconductors Layered cobaltates Na_xCoO₂

Types of magnetic interactions $\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \hat{\vec{S}}_i \hat{\vec{S}}_j + \sum_{i\mu\nu} \hat{S}_i^{\mu} A_i^{\mu\nu} \hat{S}_i^{\nu} + \sum_{ij} \vec{D}_{ij} [\hat{\vec{S}}_i \times \hat{\vec{S}}_j]$

The first term: exchange interactions (Heisenberg model) Quantum, nonrelativistic (Coulomb interaction plus Pauli principle). Determine the type of magnetic ordering (mostly)

The second term: magnetic anisotropy Quantum, relativistic (due to spin-orbit interaction). At least, second-order in SOC. Determine "practical" magnetism (hard and soft magnetic materials, hysteresis loop, etc.)

The third term: Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions Quantum, relativistic (due to spin-orbit interaction). First-order in SOC but require broken inversion symmetry. Responsible for weak FM, skyrmiones etc.

Levels of description

- Macroscopic (LLG equations + temperature balance, etc.)
- Microscopic, classical Heisenberg model
- Microscopic, quantum itinerant-electron model
- -Ab initio, time-dependent density functional or Green function functional (GW, DFT+DMFT, ...)
- Multiscale problem

LDA (DFT)+DMFT versus DFT

(Lichtenstein & MIK 1997, 1998, 1999; Anisimov et al 1997)

LSDA	LDA++
Density functional	Baym-Kadanoff functional
Density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$	Green-Function $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E)$
Potential $V_{xc}(\mathbf{r})$	Self-energy $\Sigma_i(E)$
$E_{tot} = E_{sp} - E_{dc}$	$\Omega = \Omega_{sp} - \Omega_{dc}$
$E_{sp} = \sum_{\lambda < \lambda_F} \varepsilon_{\lambda}$	$\Omega_{sp} = -Tr\ln[-G^{-1}]$
$E_{dc} = E_H + \int \rho V_{xc} d\mathbf{r} - E_{xc}$	$\Omega_{dc} = Tr\Sigma G - \Phi_{LW}$
Temperature:	Matsubara frequencies: real-T
in the Fermi function	for collective excitations

TT	C 11					
How to map fully microscopic						
description +	o manatio Hamilto					
<i>aescription to magnetic mamillonians</i>						
The talk is based on theory developed for about 40 years, first of						
all, with Sasha Lichte	nstein, and with other friends and	colleagues				
J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 14 (1984) L125–L128. Printed in Great Britain	Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 67 (1987) 65-74					
LETTER TO THE EDITOR	North-Holland, Amsterdam	REVIEWS of				

Exchange interactions and spin-wave stiffness in ferromagnetic metals

A I Liechtenstein⁺, M I Katsnelson[‡] and V A Gubanov[†]

LOCAL SPIN DENSITY FUNCTIONAL APPROACH TO THE THEORY OF EXCHANGE INTERACTIONS IN FERROMAGNETIC METALS AND ALLOYS

A.I. LIECHTENSTEIN, M.I. KATSNELSON ⁺, V.P. ANTROPOV ⁺ and V.A. GUBANOV

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 61, NUMBER 13

1 APRIL 2000-I

First-principles calculations of magnetic interactions in correlated systems

M. I. Katsnelson Institute of Metal Physics, 620 219 Ekaterinburg, Russia

A. I. Lichtenstein Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany (Received 13 April 1999; revised manuscript received 28 October 1999)

INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS PUBLISHING

JOURNAL OF PHYSICS: CONDENSED MATTER

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 (2004) 7439-7446

PII: S0953-8984(04)80755-0

Magnetic susceptibility, exchange interactions and spin-wave spectra in the local spin density approximation

M I Katsnelson^{1,2} and A I Lichtenstein^{2,3}

REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS, VOLUME 95, JULY-SEPTEMBER 202

MODERN PHYSICS July-September 2023 Volume 95, Number 3

Quantitative theory of magnetic interactions in solids

Attila Szilva and Yaroslav Kvashnin

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Division of Materials Theory, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden

Evgeny A. Stepanov CPHT, CNRS, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France

Lars Nordström

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Division of Materials Theory, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden and Wallenberg Initiative Materials Science for Sustainability, Uppsala University, 75121 Uppsala, Sweden

Olle Eriksson

Division of Materials Theory, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden and Wallenberg Initiative Materials Science for Sustainability, Uppsala University, 75121 Uppsala, Sweden

Alexander I. Lichtenstein Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg, Notkestraße 9, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

Mikhail I. Katsnelson Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, Netherlands

(published 11 September 2023)

The first step: adiabatic approximation

In condensed matter physics we know the basic laws, it is laws of quantum mechanics

Time-dependent Schrödinger equation (general)

$$i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi({f r},t)
angle=\hat{H}|\Psi({f r},t)
angle$$

In solids/liquids/molecules/clusters...

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}_n + V_{nn} \left(\vec{R}_l \right) + \hat{H}_e \left(\vec{R}_l \right)$$

Adiabatic approximation: small parameter

$$\kappa = \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^{1/4}$$

allows to separate electron and lattice degrees of freedom

 $\hat{T}_{n} = \sum_{l} \frac{\vec{P}_{l}^{2}}{2M_{l}} \qquad V_{nn}\left(\vec{R}_{l}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \neq l'} \frac{Z_{l} Z_{l'} e^{2}}{\left|\vec{R}_{l} - \vec{R}_{l'}\right|} \qquad \hat{H}_{e}\left(\vec{R}_{l}\right) = \sum_{i} \frac{\vec{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^{2}}{\left|\vec{r}_{i} - \vec{r}_{j}\right|} - \sum_{il} \frac{Z_{l} e^{2}}{\left|\vec{r}_{i} - \vec{R}_{l}\right|}$

Adiabatic approximation for spins

Spin variables are not separated at the level of Hamiltonian; some serious work is needed but at the end it can be done quite rigorously

The basic idea: dual boson approach, collective variables are introduced into the fermionic action

Dual boson approach to collective excitations in correlated fermionic systems

A.N. Rubtsov^a, M.I. Katsnelson^b, A.I. Lichtenstein^{c,*}

Annals of Physics 327 (2012) 1320-1335

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 121, 037204 (2018)

Applications to spin degrees of freedom Effective Heisenberg Model and Exchange Interaction for Strongly Correlated Systems

E. A. Stepanov,^{1,2} S. Brener,³ F. Krien,³ M. Harland,³ A. I. Lichtenstein,^{3,2} and M. I. Katsnelson^{1,2}

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 105, 155151 (2022)

Spin dynamics of itinerant electrons: Local magnetic moment formation and Berry phase

E. A. Stepanov⁽⁰⁾,^{1,*} S. Brener,^{2,3} V. Harkov⁽⁰⁾,^{2,4} M. I. Katsnelson⁽⁰⁾,⁵ and A. I. Lichtenstein^{2,3,4}

The main conceptual results

- 1. Mapping of interacting electrons onto effective spin Hamiltonians is possible only locally, near given equilibrium spin configuration; distinction of local and global spin models
- 2. Even locally, ambiguity in definition of exchange parameters: magnon energies (poles of dynamic susceptibility) vs energy of static magnetic configurations (static susceptibility)
- 3. In two cases the mapping is unambiguous: (3a) small wave vectors (spin-wave stiffness constant is unique) and (3b) well-localized magnetic moments (Stoner splitting is much larger than characteristic magnon energy)

Magnetic force theorem

Initial idea: to calculate the change of thermodynamic potential under small spin rotations with respect to equilibrium spin configuration

Works both for density functionals and for Green function functionals; to be specific, consider the latter case.

$$\begin{split} \Omega &= \Omega_{\rm sp} - \Omega_{\rm dc}, \\ \Omega_{\rm sp} &= - \mathrm{Tr} \{ \ln \left[\Sigma - G_0^{-1} \right] \}, \\ \Omega_{\rm dc} &= \mathrm{Tr} \Sigma G - \Phi, \end{split} \tag{5.57}$$

where G, G_0 , and Σ are an exact Green's function, its bare value, and its self-energy, respectively; Φ is the Luttinger generating functional (the sum of all connected skeleton diagrams without free legs); $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_{\omega i L \sigma}$ is the sum over Matsubara frequencies $\text{Tr}_{\omega} \cdots = T \sum_{\omega} \cdots, \omega = \pi T(2n+1)$,

and $n = 0, \pm 1, ...$; and *T* is the temperature. Furthermore, $iL\sigma$ represents site numbers (*i*), orbital quantum numbers (L = l, m), and spin projections σ , respectively. The two Green's functions are related via the Dyson equation as

$$G^{-1} = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma, \tag{5.58}$$

with the important variational identity

$$\delta \Phi = \mathrm{Tr} \Sigma \delta G. \tag{5.59}$$

Magnetic force theorem II

Variation of thermodynamic potential under e.g. spin rotations

$$\delta\Omega = \delta^* \Omega_{sp} + \delta_1 \Omega_{sp} - \delta\Omega_{dc}, \qquad (5.60)$$
where δ^* is the variation without taking into account the hange of the "self-consistent potential" (i.e., self-energy) and δ_1 is the variation due to this change of Σ .
For local self-energy (e.g. DFT+DMFT)

$$\delta\Omega = \delta^* \Omega_{sp} = -\delta^* \operatorname{Tr} \ln [\Sigma - G_0^{-1}] + \Sigma_i^s \vec{\sigma}, \qquad \Sigma_i = \Sigma_i^c + \vec{\Sigma}_i^s \vec{\sigma}, \qquad \Sigma_i = G_{ij}^c + \vec{G}_{ij}^s \vec{\sigma},$$

$$\Sigma_i^{(c,s)} = (1/2)(\Sigma_i^{\uparrow} \pm \Sigma_i^{\downarrow})$$
 and $\vec{\Sigma}_i^s = \Sigma_i^s \vec{e}$

Decomposing the expression for local torque into pair terms we find expression for exchange parameters

$$J_{ij} = 2 \mathrm{Tr}_{\omega L} (\Sigma_i^s G_{ij}^{\uparrow} \Sigma_j^s G_{ji}^{\downarrow})$$

c

Nomenclature:

Expressed in terms of self-energy and Green functions

Magnetic force theorem III

DFT analog

 $\omega = \Omega(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega)$

$$J_{ij}^{(2)} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \Im \int \operatorname{Tr}_L(B_i G_{ij}^{\uparrow} B_j G_{ji}^{\downarrow}) d\varepsilon$$

(B – exchange-correlation field, integration over occupied energy states)

Transformation to RKKY-like shape

Substituting

$$G(z) = \sum_{\mu} \frac{|\varphi_{\mu}\rangle \langle \varphi_{\mu}|}{z - \varepsilon_{\mu}}$$

 $J(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \sum_{\mu\nu} \frac{f_{\mu\uparrow} - f_{\nu\downarrow}}{\omega - \varepsilon_{\mu\uparrow} + \varepsilon_{\nu\downarrow}}$ $\star \psi^*_{\mu\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) B_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\nu\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}) \psi^*_{\nu\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}') B_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}') \psi_{\mu\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}') \frac{f_{\mu\sigma} = f(\varepsilon_{\mu\sigma})}{\omega} \quad \text{is the Fermi distribution}$

(contains also Stoner damping); corresponds to the poles of dynamic susceptibility in adiabatic approximation for xc field

$$\Omega(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',0) = \frac{4}{m(\mathbf{r})}J(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',0) - 2B_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r})\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$$

Comparison to alternative approaches

Difference of total energies of magnetic configurations? – but it assumes Heisenberg shape of the Hamiltonian which is rarely true Energy of spin spiral magnetic configurations? – much better, but it does not give magnon energy, contrary to local force theorem

With explicit formula we can study general tendencies



FIG. 8. Spin-wave stiffness in bcc Fe as a function of the upper integration limit. Adapted from Liechtenstein, Katsnelson, and Gubanov. 1984.

One can see that close to half-filling exchange is not ferromagnetic (therefore it is so difficult to have FMs with high magnetization @RT)

Non-Heisenberg exchange Almost obvious for itinerant-electron magnets



FIG. 13. Magnetic moment in μ_B (solid lines) and the first derivative of the energy (Ω) with respect to angle θ_i (dashed lines) for the cases of bcc Fe (left) and fcc Ni (right) when one spin is rotated with a finite θ_i in a ferromagnetic background (Turzhevskii, Liechtenstein, and Katsnelson, 1990), as shown in the added schematic.

Turzhevskii, S., A. I. Liechtenstein, and M. I. Katsnelson, 1990, "Degree of localization of magnetic moments and the non-Heisenberg nature of exchange interactions in metals and alloys," Sov. Phys. Solid State **32**, 1138–1142, https://www.mathnet.ru/eng/ftt/ v32/i7/p1952.

Non-Heisenberg exchange II Even for insulating metal-oxide compounds

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 29 (2017) 335801 (9pp)

Exchange interactions in transition metal oxides: the role of oxygen spin polarization

Nomenclature

$$H = -\sum_{i>j} 2J_{ij}\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j$$

 $s_i(s_j)$ is the unit vector

R Logemann, A N Rudenko, M I Katsnelson and A Kirilyuk



LDA+U calculations

Table 6. Effective exchange parameters (in meV) in hematite calculated using (13) for the AFM and FM magnetic configurations and their relative difference (= (AFM - FM)/FM).

	AFM	FM	Difference (%)
$J_{1'}^{\mathrm{eff}}$	-13.9	-10.4	34
$J_{4'}^{\mathrm{eff}} \& J_{4''}^{\mathrm{eff}}$	-9.8	-9.0	9
$J_1^{\rm eff}$	-3.5	7.8	-144
$J_{3'}^{\text{eff}}$	-3.1	6.8	-146

Fe atoms in elementary cell of hematite; green spins down

Polarization of oxygen effects essentially on superexchange

Orbital decomposition bcc Fe as an example: different role of different orbitals



Crystal field splitting



DOS for non magnetic Fe

Stoner criterion is fulfilled due to e_g states only; they should play a special role in magnetism (Irkhin, Katsnelson, Trefilov, JPCM 5, 8763 (1993))



 PRL 116, 217202 (2016)
 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Microscopic Origin of Heisenberg and Non-Heisenberg Exchange Interactions in Ferromagnetic bcc Fe

Y. O. Kvashnin,¹ R. Cardias,² A. Szilva,¹ I. Di Marco,¹ M. I. Katsnelson,^{3,4} A. I. Lichtenstein,^{4,5} L. Nordström,¹ A. B. Klautau,² and O. Eriksson¹



FIG. 1. Orbitally decomposed NN exchange interaction in elemental 3d metals in the bcc structure.



week ending

27 MAY 2016

t_{2g} are itinerant electrons providing (Heisenberg-like) RKKY exchange with Friedel oscillations; *e_g* are more correlated providing (non-Heisenberg) "double exchange" typical for narrom-band systems

Orbital decomposition III

Orbitally-resolved ferromagnetism of monolayer Crl_3

IV Kashin¹, VV Mazurenko¹, MI Katsnelson^{1,2} and AN Rudenko^{3,2,1} $t_{2g} - e_g (1NN) -$ 3 $t_{2g} - e_g (2NN)$ $t_{2g} - e_g (3NN) -$ 2 1 J_{ij} (meV) 0 -1 -2 $t_{2g} - t_{2g} (1NN)$ $t_{2g} - t_{2g} (2NN)$ -3 $t_{2g} - t_{2g}$ (3NN) 2 0 1 3 5 U(eV)

2D Mater. 7 (2020) 025036

LDA+U results: competing FM and AFM contributions to superexchange



Qualitative explanation within Kugel-Khomskii model

Applications to various systems: Alloys Invar problem Heussler alloys



FIG. 15. Calculated Fe-Fe exchange interactions with first three coordination shells in fcc $\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}$ for two different unit cell volumes (*V*) (Ruban *et al.*, 2005). The 16-atom supercell-based results for $V = 73.6 \text{ a.u.}^3$ and $V = 70.3 \text{ a.u.}^3$ are shown with blue (dark gray) and green (light gray) circles, respectively. Supercell- and CPA-averaged J_{ij} 's are shown for comparison.

Ruban, A. V., M. I. Katsnelson, W. Olovsson, S. I. Simak, and I. A. Abrikosov, 2005, "Origin of magnetic frustrations in Fe-Ni Invar alloys," Phys. Rev. B 71, 054402.



FIG. 16. Calculated vs measured T_c 's in the series of $L2_1$ Heusler alloys. From Thoene *et al.*, 2009.

Thoene, Jan, Stanislav Chadov, Gerhard Fecher, Claudia Felser, and Jürgen Kübler, 2009, "Exchange energies, Curie temperatures and magnons in Heusler compounds," J. Phys. D 42, 084013.

Mean-field estimate of Curie temperature

Applications to various systems: Magnetic monolayers



FIG. 19. Computed and measured acoustic magnon dispersions in Fe/Rh(001). Inset shows the parts of the Brillouin zone used in the plot From Meno et al 2014
Meng, Y., Kh. Zakeri, A. Ernst, T.-H. Chuang, H. J. Qin, Y.-J. Chen, and J. Kirschner, 2014, "Direct evidence of antiferromagnetic exchange interaction in Fe(001) films: Strong magnon softening at the high-symmetry M point," Phys. Rev. B 90, 174437.

Applications to various systems: rareearth elements

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 94, 085137 (2016)

Standard model of the rare earths analyzed from the Hubbard I approximation



Nomenclature







FIG. 23. Fourier transform of the exchange interaction $J(\vec{q}) - J(0)$ in heavy elemental lanthanides (Locht *et al.*, 2016). If the minimum corresponds to the Γ point, the ferromagnetic order is preferable.

Dzialoshinskii-Moriya interactions MIK, Kvashnin, Mazurenko & Lichtenstein, PRB 82, 100403 (2010)

LDA+U

DM interactions (weak FM, etc.)

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_t + \hat{H}_u$$

= $\sum_{12} c_1^+ t_{12} c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1234} c_1^+ c_2^+ U_{1234} c_3 c_4$
$$H_{DM} = \sum \vec{D}_{ij} [\vec{e}_i \times \vec{e}_j]$$

ιJL

$$\hat{R}_i = e^{i\delta\vec{\varphi}_i\vec{J}}$$

$$\hat{\vec{J}}=\hat{\vec{L}}+\hat{\vec{S}}$$

ij

Dzialoshinskii-Moriya interactions II

Starting from collinear configuration

$$\delta \hat{H}_t = \sum_{ij} c_i^+ (\delta \hat{R}_i^+ \hat{t}_{ij} + \hat{t}_{ij} \delta \hat{R}_j) c_j$$
$$= -i \sum_{ij} c_i^+ (\delta \vec{\varphi}_i \hat{\vec{J}} \hat{t}_{ij} - \hat{t}_{ij} \hat{\vec{J}} \delta \vec{\varphi}_j) c_j$$
$$= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{ij} c_i^+ (\delta \vec{\varphi}_i - \delta \vec{\varphi}_j) (\hat{\vec{J}} \hat{t}_{ij} + \hat{t}_{ij} \hat{\vec{J}}) c_j$$

$$\vec{D}_{ij} = -\frac{i}{2} Tr_{m,\sigma} \langle c_i^+ [\hat{\vec{J}}, \hat{t}_{ij}]_+ c_j \rangle = -\frac{i}{2} Tr_{m,\sigma} N_{ji} [\hat{\vec{J}}, \hat{t}_{ij}]_+$$

$$N_{ji} = \langle c_i^+ c_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{E_f} Im G_{ji}(E) dE$$



LETTERS PUBLISHED ONLINE: 9 FEBRUARY 2014 | DOI: 10.1038/NPHYS2859

Measuring the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in a weak ferromagnet

V. E. Dmitrienko¹, E. N. Ovchinnikova², S. P. Collins^{3*}, G. Nisbet³, G. Beutier⁴, Y. O. Kvashnin⁵, V. V. Mazurenko⁶, A. I. Lichtenstein⁷ and M. I. Katsnelson^{6,8}

A novel exper. technique to measure DM vector and not only canting angle (resonant X-ray scattering)



TABLE I. Calculated values of isotropic exchange interactions between magnetic moments in FeBO₃ (in meV). The number in parentheses denotes the coordination sphere.

nature

physics

TABLE III. Parameters of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (in meV) calculated by using Eq. (6).

Bond $m - n$	\mathbf{R}_{mn}	$\mathbf{D}_{mn} \ (\mathrm{meV})$
0-1	(1.0; 0.0; -0.904)	(-0.25; 0.0; -0.24)
0-2	$(-0.5; -\sqrt{3}/2; -0.904)$	(0.12; 0.22; -0.24)
0-3	$(-0.5; \sqrt{3}/2; -0.904)$	(0.12;-0.22;-0.24)
0-4	(-1.0; 0.0; 0.904)	(-0.25; 0.0; -0.24)
0-5	$(0.5; -\sqrt{3}/2; 0.904)$	(0.12;-0.22;-0.24)
0-6	$(0.5; \sqrt{3}/2; 0.904)$	(0.12; 0.22; -0.24)

Agrees well with exper.

Molecular magnets

Example: V_{15} AFM ground state S = 1/2

$V_{15}(K_6[V_{15}As_6O_{42}(H_2O)] \cdot 8H_2O)$





LDA+U calculations

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 70, 054417 (2004)

Electronic structure and exchange interactions in V₁₅ magnetic molecules: LDA+U results

D. W. Boukhvalov,^{1,2} V. V. Dobrovitski,³ M. I. Katsnelson,^{2,4} A. I. Lichtenstein,⁵ B. N. Harmon,³ and P. Kögerler³

TABLE II. The exchange parameters (in Kelvin),	electronic gap, and	the magnetic moments o	of V ions for differen	t magnetic structures
of V_{15} . The calculations have been made for $U=4$ eV	V, J=0.8 eV.			

parameter	AFM1	AFM2	FM
J	-910	-905	-942
J'	-45	-46	-53
J''	-136	-139	-156
J_1	-219	-247	-255
J_2	-134	-128	-132
J_3	-5	-5	-6
J_4	-13	-12	-15
J_5	-3	-3	-3
J_6	-3	-3	-3
gap	1.08	1.02	1.16
μ_{V1}	-0.94	-0.93	-0.99
μ_{V2}	+0.91	+0.92	-0.97
μ_{V3}	-1.00	+0.97	-1.00

LDA+U calculations II

Exact diagonalization for Heisenberg model







PHYSICAL REVIEW B 00, 004400 (2014)

First-principles modeling of magnetic excitations in Mn₁₂

V. V. Mazurenko,¹ Y. O. Kvashnin,^{2,3} Fengping Jin,⁴ H. A. De Raedt,⁵ A. I. Lichtenstein,⁶ and M. I. Katsnelson^{1,7}

The prototype molecular Motivation magnet Dimension of Hilbert space: (2×2+1)⁸(2×3/2+1)⁴=10⁸ A real challenge! Mn^{3+} **†** Mn^{4+}

 $[Mn_{12}O_{12}(CH_{3}COO)_{16}(H_{2}O)_{4}] \cdot 2CH_{3}COOH \cdot 4H_{2}O$

Mn₁₂: full calculations II

Inelastic netron scattering data: cannot be explained without strong DM interactions (MIK, Dobrovistki & Harmon, PRB 1999)

Eight-spin model: $S = \frac{1}{2}$ dimers from S=2 and S=3/2 Dimensionality of Hilbert space decreases to 10^4 Cannot be justified quantitatively!

Full LDA+U calculations plus Lanczos ED

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \hat{\vec{S}}_i \hat{\vec{S}}_j + \sum_{i\mu\nu} \hat{S}_i^{\mu} A_i^{\mu\nu} \hat{S}_i^{\nu} + \sum_{ij} \vec{D}_{ij} [\hat{\vec{S}}_i \times \hat{\vec{S}}_j]$$



TABLE I. Intramolecular isotropic exchange interaction parameters (in meV) calculated by using the LDA + U approach. Positive sign corresponds to the antiferromagnetic coupling.

Bond (i, j)	1–6	1–11	1–9	6–9	7–9	1–4	1–3
$J_{ij} \text{ (this work)} \\ J_{ij} \text{ (Ref. [4])} \\ J_{ij} \text{ (Ref. [26])} \end{cases}$	4.6 4.8 7.4	1.0 1.37 1.72	1.7 1.37 1.72	$-0.45 \\ -0.5$	-0.37 -0.5	-1.55 -1.6 -1.98	-0.5 -0.7

Mn₁₂: full calculations III

TABLE II. Intramolecular anisotropic exchange interaction parameters calculated by using the LDA + U approach. \vec{R}_{ij} is a radius vector connecting *i*th and *j*th atoms (in units of a = 17.31 Å).

Bond (i, j)	$ec{R}_{ij}$	\vec{D}_{ij} (meV)
2–7	(0.03; -0.16; 0.0)	(-0.008; -0.013; -0.002)
4-8	(-0.03; 0.16; 0.0)	(0.008; 0.013; -0.002)
1–6	(0.16; 0.03; 0.0)	(-0.013; 0.008; -0.002)
3–5	(-0.16; -0.03; 0.0)	(0.013; -0.008; -0.002)
1–11	(0.06; 0.18; 0.07)	(-0.020; 0.03; -0.055)
3-10	(-0.06; -0.18; 0.07)	(0.020; -0.03; -0.055)
2–9	(0.18; -0.06; -0.07)	(-0.03; -0.020; -0.055)
4-12	(-0.18; 0.06; -0.07)	(0.03; 0.020; -0.055)
1–9	(0.11; -0.16; 0.04)	(0.020; 0.014; 0.03)
3-12	(-0.11; 0.16; 0.04)	(-0.020; -0.014; 0.03)
2-10	(-0.16; -0.11; -0.04)	(-0.014; 0.020; 0.03)
4–11	(0.16; 0.11; -0.04)	(0.014; -0.020; 0.03)
6–9	(-0.04; -0.18; 0.04)	(-0.006; -0.004; -0.012)
5-12	(0.04; 0.18; 0.04)	(0.006; 0.004; -0.012)
7–10	(-0.18; 0.04; -0.04)	(0.004; -0.006; -0.012)
8-11	(0.18; -0.04; -0.04)	(-0.004; 0.006; -0.012)
7–9	(0.15; 0.1; -0.07)	(0.020; -0.004; 0.012)
8-12	(-0.15; -0.1; -0.07)	(-0.020; 0.004; 0.012)
6–11	(-0.1; 0.15; 0.07)	(-0.004; -0.020; 0.012)
5-10	(0.1; -0.15; 0.07)	(0.004; 0.020; 0.012)
4–1	(-0.10; 0.06; 0.11)	(-0.014; 0.005; -0.013)
1–2	(-0.06; -0.10; 0.11)	(-0.005; -0.014; -0.013)
3–4	(0.07; 0.1; 0.11)	(0.005; 0.014; -0.013)
2–3	(-0.10; 0.07; -0.11)	(0.014; -0.005; -0.013)
1–3	(-0.16; -0.03; 0.0)	(-0.006; 0.030; 0)
2–4	(-0.04; 0.17; 0.0)	(-0.030; -0.006; 0)

Plus anisotropy tensors...



FIG. 2. (Color online) Schematic comparison of the theoretical spectrum obtained by diagonalizing Eq. (1) and INS spectrum taken from Ref. [12] (Figs. 6 and 8 therein). The arrows denote the intraand interband transitions that correspond to the excitations observed in the INS experiment.

No fitting parameters at all – not so bad!

Mn₁₂: full calculations IV

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 92, 064424 (2015)

Thermodynamic observables of Mn_{12} -acetate calculated for the full spin Hamiltonian

Oliver Hanebaum and Jürgen Schnack*



Also, thermodynamic quantities can be calculated

Ultrafast magnetism: Examples





Nickel Koopmans et al PRL 2005



Orthoferrites Kimel et al Nature 2005

Gadolinium Melnikov et al PRL 2003

Ultrafast magnetism: a theory

Non-equilibrium magnetic interactions in strongly correlated systems

Annals of Physics 333 (2013) 221-271

A. Secchi^{a,*}, S. Brener^b, A.I. Lichtenstein^b, M.I. Katsnelson^a

$$\hat{H}(t) \equiv \hat{H}_{T}(t) + \hat{H}_{V} \,\hat{H}_{T}(t) \equiv \sum_{i_{a}\lambda_{a}} \sum_{i_{b}\lambda_{b}} T_{i_{a}\lambda_{a},i_{b}\lambda_{b}}(t) \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\phi}^{\dagger}_{i_{a}\lambda_{a}\sigma} \hat{\phi}_{i_{b}\lambda_{b}\sigma}$$
$$\hat{H}_{V} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} V_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}} \hat{\phi}^{\dagger}_{i\lambda_{1}\sigma} \hat{\phi}^{\dagger}_{i\lambda_{2}\sigma'} \hat{\phi}_{i\lambda_{3}\sigma'} \hat{\phi}_{i\lambda_{4}\sigma}$$

Consider dynamics of Baym-Kadanoff-Keldysh countour



Path integral over Grassmann variables

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\left[\bar{\phi}, \phi\right] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}S\left[\bar{\phi}, \phi\right]}$$

Ultrafast magnetism: a theory II

Introduce rotations

$$\begin{split} \bar{\phi}_{a\pm}(t) &= \bar{\psi}_{a\pm}(t) \cdot R_{a\pm}^{\dagger}(t), \qquad \phi_{a\pm}(t) = R_{a\pm}(t) \cdot \psi_{a\pm}(t) \\ \bar{\phi}_{av}(\tau) &= \bar{\psi}_{av}(\tau) \cdot R_{av}^{\dagger}(\tau), \qquad \phi_{av}(\tau) = R_{av}(\tau) \cdot \psi_{av}(\tau) \\ R_{i}(z) &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - |\xi_{i}(z)|^{2}} & \xi_{i}^{*}(z) \\ -\xi_{i}(z) & \sqrt{1 - |\xi_{i}(z)|^{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Expand effective actions up to the second order in} \\ \text{"Holstein-Primakoff" fields } \xi, \xi^{*} \\ \xi_{i}(z) &\equiv -e^{i\varphi_{i}(z)} \sin \left[\theta_{i}(z)/2\right] \\ \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}\left[\bar{\psi},\psi\right] e^{iS\left[\bar{\psi},\psi\right]} \int \mathcal{D}\left[\theta,\varphi\right] e^{iS'\left[\bar{\psi},\psi,\xi^{*}(\theta,\varphi),\xi(\theta,\varphi)\right]} \end{split}$$

Integrate over Grassman variables neglecting vertex corrections

Ultrafast magnetism: a theory III

General expression of nonlocal in time exchange interactions in terms of Beym-Kadanoff-Keldysh Green's functions. E.g., time-dependent stiffness constant:

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(t) \equiv -\frac{i}{2M} \sum_{\eta} \eta \sum_{\sigma} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt' \operatorname{sign}(t'-t) \overline{\Sigma}^{S}(t) \overline{\Sigma}^{S}(t')$$
$$\times \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\partial G_{\mathbf{k}}^{\eta\sigma}(t',t)}{\partial k_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial G_{\mathbf{k}}^{\bar{\eta}\bar{\sigma}}(t,t')}{\partial k_{\beta}}.$$

Additional terms (twist exchange) of the structure $\propto (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2) \cdot \sigma_3$ (at equilibrium forbidden by time-reversal symmetry) The first step is done, a lot of things to do

High-frequency limit

Quickly oscillating strong electric field: quickly oscillating effective hopping

$$t_{ij} \rightarrow t_{ij} \exp\left\{\frac{ie}{\hbar c} \int_{\vec{R}_j}^{\vec{R}_i} d\vec{r}' \vec{A}(\vec{r}', t)\right\}$$

At very high frequency effective static Hamiltonian should exist Classical analog: Kapitza pendulum



One needs to develop efficient perturbative theory in inverse frequency of the laser field

In classical mechanics: Bogoliubov, Krylov ...

Development for matrix Hamiltonians: A. P. Itin & A. I. Neishtadt, Phys. Lett. A 378, 822 (2014)

High-frequency limit II

Perturbation expansion: general scheme

A.P. Itin & MIK, PRL115, 075301 (2015); C. Dutreix & MIK, PRB 95, 024306 (2017)

The time-periodic Hamiltonian H(t) of the initial problem obeys the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \Psi(\lambda, t) = H(t)\Psi(\lambda, t). \tag{8.9}$$

One can introduce a dimensionless parameter $\lambda = \delta E/\Omega$ that compares a certain energy scale δE of the system to the frequency Ω of the applied field. One then tries to find a unitary transformation $\Psi(\lambda, \tau) = \exp\{-i\Delta(\tau)\}\psi(\lambda, \tau)$ that removes the time dependence of the Hamiltonian. Here we introduce $\tau = \Omega t$ and also impose the condition that $\Delta(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^n \Delta_n(\tau)$, with $\Delta_n(\tau)$ a 2π periodic function. The Schrödinger equation (8.9) can then be rewritten as

$$i\partial_t \psi(\lambda, \tau) = \lambda \overline{\mathcal{H}} \psi(\lambda, \tau)$$
 (8.10)

with an effective Hamiltonian

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}} = e^{i\Delta(\tau)}\bar{H}(\tau)e^{-i\Delta(\tau)} - i\lambda^{-1}e^{i\Delta(\tau)}\partial_{\tau}e^{-i\Delta(\tau)}.$$
(8.11)

In Eqs. (8.10) and (8.11) the bar over the Hamiltonian indicates a normalization on the energy scale δE : $\bar{H}(\tau) = H(\tau)/\delta E$. Using the series representation $\bar{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^n \tilde{H}_n$, one can determine operators \tilde{H}_n and $\Delta_n(\tau)$ iteratively in all orders in λ . The zeroth-order term in this representation is given by the time average over the period of the driving $\tilde{H}_0 = \langle \bar{H}(\tau) \rangle = \bar{H}_0$ defined as $\bar{H}_m = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} (d\tau/2\pi) e^{im\tau} \bar{H}(\tau)$. The first- and secondorder terms λ in the effective Hamiltonian are given by the following equations:

$$\tilde{H}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{[\bar{H}_m, \bar{H}_{-m}]}{m}, \qquad (8.12)$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}_{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\left[\left[\bar{H}_{m}, \bar{H}_{0} \right], \bar{H}_{-m} \right]}{m^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \sum_{m \neq 0} \sum_{n \neq 0, m} \frac{\left[\left[\bar{H}_{m}, \bar{H}_{n-m} \right], \bar{H}_{-n} \right]}{mn}, \qquad (8.13) \end{split}$$

Application to magnetism: E. A. Stepanov, C. Dutreix & MIK, PRL 118, 157201 (2017)

High-frequency limit III

Effective superexchange in narrow-band half-filled Hubbard model

$$J_{\text{ind}}^{D} = 2t^2 U \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathcal{J}_m^2(\mathcal{E})}{m^2 \Omega^2 - U^2}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = eE_0a/\Omega$$

strength of the laser field E_0

One can change sign of effective exchange interaction

Itin, A. P., and M. I. Katsnelson, 2015, "Effective Hamiltonians for	Bukov, Marin, Michael Kolodrubetz, and Anatoli Polkovnikov, 2016,
Rapidly Driven Many-Body Lattice Systems: Induced Exchange	"Schrieffer-Wolff Transformation for Periodically Driven Systems:
Interactions and Density-Dependent Hoppings," Phys. Rev. Lett.	Strongly Correlated Systems with Artificial Gauge Fields," Phys.
115 , 075301.	Rev. Lett. 116 , 125301.

Beyond the talk

Spin dynamics (including lattice + spin dynamics);
 Application to nonmagnetic systems (analogs for superconductors and for charge-ordered systems;
 Finite-temperature effects

Collaboration with many people, especially Nijmegen, Hamburg, Uppsala, and Ekaterinburg groups

Thank you for your attention